

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE BRIANÇON-SKODA THEOREM

JACOB SZNAJDMAN

ABSTRACT. We give a new elementary proof of the Briançon-Skoda theorem, which states that for an m -generated ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in the ring of germs of analytic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the ν :th power of its integral closure is contained in $\mathfrak{a}$, where $\nu = \min(m, n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The integral closure $\overline{I}$ of an ideal I is the set of all $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_n$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \phi^N + a_1 \phi^{N-1} + \cdots + a_N = 0,$$

and $a_k \in I^k$, $k = 1, \dots, N$.

Theorem 1.1 (Briançon-Skoda). *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by m germs $f_1, \dots, f_m$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^{\min(m,n)+l}} \subset \mathfrak{a}^{l+1}$.*

In 1974 Briançon and Skoda, [Sko74], proved this theorem as a quite immediate consequence of Skoda's L^2 -theorem in [Sko72].

An algebraic proof was given by Lipman and Tessier in [Tes81]. Their paper also contains a historical summary. An account of the further development and an elementary algebraic proof of the result is found in Schoutens [Sch03].

Berenstein, Gay, Vidras and Yger [Yge93] proved the theorem by finding an integral representation formula $\phi = \sum u_i f_i$ with explicit u_i . However, some estimates rely on Hironaka's theorem.

In this note, we provide a completely elementary proof along these lines. The key point is an L^1 estimate (Proposition 2.1), to which the proof is reduced in Section 3.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to Mats Andersson for introducing me to the subject and providing many helpful comments and ideas.

2. THE MAIN ESTIMATE

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ be holomorphic functions defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then*

$$\frac{|\partial f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial f_m|}{\prod_1^m |f_i|}$$

is integrable on some ball centered at the origin.

Remark 2.2. If f_i are monomials, the assertion is trivial. By Hironaka's theorem, one can reduce to this case, since integrability is preserved under push-forward.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $F \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $F \not\equiv 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $1/|F|^\delta$ is integrable on some ball centered at the origin.*

Proof. We can assume that F is a Weierstrass polynomial and that the domain is a product of a disk and a polydisk. Then we partition each disk (slice) into sets, one for each root, consisting of those points which are the closest to that root. Thus the integrand is no worse than $z_1^{-\delta s}$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Lemma 2.3 and the inequality $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ it suffices to show that for any positive δ the function

$$F = \frac{|\partial f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial f_m|^2}{\prod_1^m |f_j|^{2-\delta}}$$

is integrable on some ball centered at the origin. This follows from the Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequalities (e.g. [Dem07] (3.3), Ch. III) and (2.3). We proceed however without explicitly relying on facts about positive forms or plurisubharmonic functions.

Let us first set

$$\beta = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} |\zeta|^2 = \frac{i}{2} \sum d\zeta_j \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{\beta^k}{k!}.$$

A simple calculation gives that for any $(1, 0)$ -forms α_j ,

$$(2.1) \quad i\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge i\alpha_p \wedge \bar{\alpha}_p \wedge \beta_{n-p} = |\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p|^2 dV.$$

We now compute

$$\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} = \frac{\delta}{2} \left(1 + \frac{(\frac{\delta}{2} - 1) |f_j|^2}{|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon} \right) (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2-1} \partial f_j \wedge \bar{\partial} f_j,$$

which yields that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{i \partial f_j \wedge \bar{\partial} f_j}{(|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{1-\delta/2}} = G_j i \partial \bar{\partial} (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2},$$

where

$$G_j = \frac{2}{\delta} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\delta}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{|f_j|^2}{|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon} \right]^{-1}.$$

Observe that $(\frac{2}{\delta}) \leq G_j \leq (\frac{2}{\delta})^2$. We introduce the regularized form

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon dV &= \frac{|\partial f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial f_m|^2}{\prod_1^m (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{1-\delta/2}} dV = \frac{\prod_1^m (i\partial f_j \wedge \bar{\partial} f_j) \wedge \beta_{n-m}}{\prod_1^m (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{1-\delta/2}} = \\ (2.3) \quad &= \prod G_j i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \beta_{n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

From the equality $|w|^2 = |w \wedge \bar{w}|$, that holds for all $(p, 0)$ -forms w , and (2.2), we get

$$(2.4) \quad F_\varepsilon dV = \frac{|\prod_1^m (i\partial f_j \wedge \bar{\partial} f_j)| dV}{\prod_1^m (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{1-\delta/2}} = \left| \prod G_j i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_j|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \right| dV.$$

Let B be a ball about the origin and let χ_B be a smooth cut-off function supported in a ball of twice the radius. We now use the two expressions (2.3) and (2.4) for F_ε and integrate by parts to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B F_\varepsilon &\leq C \int \chi_B \left| i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_1|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \cdots \wedge i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_m|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \right| dV = \\ &= C \int \chi_B i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_1|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \cdots \wedge i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_m|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \beta_{n-m} = \\ &= C \left| \int \partial \bar{\partial} \chi_B (|f_1|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \cdots \wedge i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_m|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \beta_{n-m} \right| \leq \\ &\leq C \sup_{2B} |f_1|^\delta \int_{2B} \left| i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_2|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \wedge \cdots \wedge i\partial \bar{\partial} (|f_m|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta/2} \right| dV. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we now have

$$|F_\varepsilon| \leq C \sup_{2^{m+1}B} |f_1 \cdots f_m|^\delta < \infty,$$

so if we let ε tend to zero, we get the desired bound. $\square$

3. A PROOF OF THE BRIANÇON-SKODA THEOREM

By a simple estimate, (1.1) with $I = \mathfrak{a}^{\min(m,n)+l}$ implies that

$$(3.1) \quad |\phi| \leq C |f|^{\min(m,n)+l}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, it thus suffices to show that $\phi \in \mathfrak{a}^l$, provided that (3.1) holds. We will use an explicit division formula introduced in [Ber83], but for convenience, we use the formalism from [And03] to obtain it.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Define the operator $\nabla_{\zeta-z} = \delta_{\zeta-z} - \bar{\partial}$, where $\delta_{\zeta-z}$ is contraction with the vector field

$$2\pi i \sum_1^n (\zeta_k - z_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_k}.$$

A weight with respect to a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a smooth differential form g for which $\nabla_{\zeta-z}g = 0$ and $g_{(0,0)}(z) = 1$ holds. If furthermore g has compact support and ϕ is holomorphic, then

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(z) = \int \phi(\zeta)g(\zeta).$$

Next, take an m -tuple $h = (h_i)$ of so called Hefer forms, which are holomorphic forms in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that $\delta_{\zeta-z}h_i = f_i(\zeta) - f_i(z)$, where f_i are as in Theorem 1.1. Also define $\mu = \min(m, n+1)$ and $\sigma_i = \bar{f}_i/|f|^2$ and let $\chi_\varepsilon = \chi(|f|/\varepsilon)$ be a smooth cut-off function, where χ is approximatively the characteristic function for $[1, \infty)$.

For convenience, we assume that $l = 0$, although the general proof goes through verbatim by just replacing μ with $\mu + l$. We can now define the weight

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g_B &= (1 - \nabla_{\zeta-z}(h \cdot \chi_\varepsilon \sigma))^\mu \\ &= (1 - \chi_\varepsilon + f(z) \cdot \chi_\varepsilon \sigma + h \cdot \bar{\partial}(\chi_\varepsilon \sigma))^\mu = \\ &= f(z) \cdot A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad A_\varepsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{\mu-1} C_k \chi_\varepsilon \sigma [f(z) \cdot \chi_\varepsilon \sigma]^k [1 - \chi_\varepsilon + h \cdot \bar{\partial}(\chi_\varepsilon \sigma)]^{\mu-k-1}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad B_\varepsilon = (1 - \chi_\varepsilon + h \cdot \bar{\partial}(\chi_\varepsilon \sigma))^\mu.$$

Let g be any weight with respect to z which has compact support and is holomorphic in z near 0 (see [And03] for the construction). An application of (3.2) to the weight $g_B \wedge g$ yields

$$(3.6) \quad \phi(z) = f(z) \cdot \int \phi(\zeta) A_\varepsilon \wedge g + \int \phi(\zeta) B_\varepsilon \wedge g.$$

To obtain the division, we begin by showing that the second term tends uniformly to zero for small $|z|$.

On the set $\{|f| > 2\varepsilon\}$, we have $B_\varepsilon = (h \cdot \bar{\partial}\sigma)^\mu$, which vanishes regardless of whether $\mu = n+1$ or $\mu = m$. In the latter case apply $\bar{\partial}$ to $f \cdot \sigma = 1$ to see that $\bar{\partial}\sigma$ is linearly dependent. Hence, it remains to find an integrable bound that holds uniformly. A simple calculation gives that

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{\partial}\chi_\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)|f|^{-1} \sum \bar{\partial}f_j \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}\sigma_i = \mathcal{O}(1)|f|^{-2} \sum \bar{\partial}f_j,$$

since $|f| \sim \varepsilon$ on the support of $\bar{\partial}\chi_\varepsilon$. Note also that $|\sigma| = |f|^{-1}$. By the assumption (3.1), the integrand $\phi(\zeta)B_\varepsilon \wedge g$ consists of terms of the

type

$$\begin{aligned} C\phi(\zeta)(\bar{\partial}\chi_\varepsilon h \cdot \sigma)^a \wedge (\chi_\varepsilon h \cdot \bar{\partial}\sigma)^b (1 - \chi_\varepsilon)^c \wedge g = \\ = \mathcal{O}(1) \wedge |f|^{(\min(m,n)-2(a+b))} \overline{\partial f_I}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = a + b + c$, $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $|I| = a + b \leq \mu \leq m$ and $\overline{\partial f_I} = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \overline{\partial f_i}$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that the expression above is the required bound if $a + b \leq \min(m, n)$, but we also have $a + b \leq n$ due to bidegree reasons. We now know that $|\phi(\zeta)B_\varepsilon \wedge g|$ is bounded by $\chi_{|f| < 2\varepsilon} F$ for an integrable function F . The convergence will therefore indeed be uniform in z .

According to (3.6), it remains to show that $\int \phi(\zeta)A_\varepsilon \wedge g$ converges as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, since it is clearly holomorphic for each fixed ε . We consider first the case $m \leq n$, which implies $\mu = \min(m, n + 1) = \min(m, n) = m$. A generic term in $\phi(\zeta)A_\varepsilon$ can be estimated by

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \wedge \phi(\zeta)\sigma(f(z) \cdot \chi_\varepsilon \sigma)^k (\bar{\partial}\chi_\varepsilon h \cdot \sigma)^a \wedge (h \cdot \bar{\partial}\sigma)^b,$$

where $a + b \leq \mu - k - 1$, $k \leq \mu - 1$. As in the first part of the proof this yields an integrable bound by Proposition 2.1 and (3.7). The same estimate automatically holds for

$$A = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\varepsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{\mu-1} C_k \sigma[f(z) \cdot \sigma]^k [h \cdot \bar{\partial}\sigma]^{\mu-k-1}.$$

As above, one sees that $\int \phi(\zeta)A_\varepsilon \wedge g \rightarrow \int \phi(\zeta)A \wedge g$ uniformly.

The case $m > n$ presents an additional difficulty as $\phi A \wedge g$ will not be integrable. Since the first part of the argument does not depend on the assumption $m \leq n$, we have that ϕ is in the closure of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to uniform convergence. All ideals are however closed in this topology, so ϕ belongs to $\mathfrak{a}$. The second course of action, which is followed in [Sko74], is to consider a reduction of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. That is, an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ generated by n germs such that $\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$, e.g. Lemma 10.3, Ch. VIII in [Dem07]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [And03] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights I*, Math. Ann. 326, 1-18 (2003).
- [Ber83] B. Berndtsson, *A formula for division and interpolation*, Math. Ann. 263, 113-160 (1983).
- [Dem07] J-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, Available at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/>, 2007.
- [Sch03] H. Schoutens, *A non-standard proof of the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131, 103-112 (2003).
- [Sko72] H. Skoda, *Application des techniques L^2 à la théorie des idéaux d'une algèbre de fonctions holomorphes avec poids*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 5, 545-579 (1972).

- [Sko74] J. Briançon H. Skoda, *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de C^n* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278, 949-951 (1974).
- [Tes81] J. Lipman B. Tesser, *Pseudo-rational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals*, Michigan Math. J. 28, 97-115 (1981).
- [Yge93] C. Berenstein R. Gay A. Vidras A. Yger, *Residue currents and bezout identities*, Progress in Mathematics, 114, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND
GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `sznajdma@chalmers.se`